SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/10/17	Surveyor:	J. FOXALL/L. KAPADIA
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): Owner (if known):	TI	Tree/Group No: Species: Oak (Turkey) Location: Cautor Curvede Poad

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

5) Good (3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Unlikely to be suitable	Score & Notes Several pruning wounds and worsing promiches. Canopy slightly thin	
* D l			_

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+ (4) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10*	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes Voban location with pressure on vo
*Includes trees	which are an existing or near future n	nuisance including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negative

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

(5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public

3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only

2)Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees

4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

 5) Immediate threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 	Score & Notes	Felling	work	elouture	on

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
16	TPO

Page 19

Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes

Score & Notes

ante

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/16/17	Surveyor: ${\mathbb J}$.	FOXALL / L. KAPADIA
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): Owner (if known):	TZ	Tree/Group No: Species: Ash Location: Evange Form Auers Port

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

- 5) Good Highly suitable (3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes		
(4) 40-100	Very suitable			
2) 20-40	Suitable			
1) 10-20	Just suitable			
0) <10*	Unsuitable			
*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the				

potential of other trees of better quality

Mineor dead wood

Score & Notes

Highly suitable Suitable Suitable

Barely suitable

Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes

- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public
- (3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

2)Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- (4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

 5) Immediate threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 	Score & Notes Felling ongoing on site

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
19	TPO

Page 20

Score &	Notes				
Formus	paut	of	bow	udany	
WHERP	Uner	1	Faller	during	

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/10/17	Surveyor:	J. FOXAL/L. KAPADIA
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): Owner (if known):	T3	Tree/Group No: Species: Yew Location: Grange France Access Pool

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

5) Good (3) Fair	Highly suitable Suitable	Score & N	lotes		ž		
1) Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	Some	PAN	historic	premerco	at	Para
0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Unsuitable	some	100.	100000	1		
* D 1	1		7 .				

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

(5)100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
4) 40-100	Very suitable	
2) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	
	1.1	

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public

(3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only

2)Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5)	Principal	components	of arboricultural	features,	or veteran	trees

(4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

3) Foreseeable threat to tree

- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

n's

Part	3:	Decision	guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPO

Score & Notes Felling orgoing 500 in

Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
20	TPO

Page 21

Suitable	
Barely suitable	
Probably unsuitable	
	-

Score & Notes

welling

Paut of boundary

Highly suitable

Suitable

e	
uitable	

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/10/17 Survey	or: J. FOXALL / L. KAPADIA	
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): T4 Owner (if known):	Tree/Group No: Species: Beech Location: Evanne Farm Auers Pose	l

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

5)Good 3) Fair	Highly suitable Suitable	Score & Notes				
1) Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	Some very	when.	No	decay	obvious
0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Unsuitable					
* Relates to existing context and	l is intended to apply to severe irrei	mediable defects only				

тррі

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
(4) 40-100	Very suitable	
2) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public

(3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only

2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5)	Principal	components	of arboricultu	ral features,	or veteran	trees
----	-----------	------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------

(4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

5)	Immediate	threat	to	tree
----	-----------	--------	----	------

- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
21	TPO

Page 22

Highly suitable
Suitable
Suitable
Barely suitable
Probably unsuitabl

Score & N	otes	
Paut of	poundain around	
	fundation of	
Uning	Janen amere.	

Score & Notes on site Felling orgoing

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/10/17	Surveyor:	J. FOXALL / L. KAPADIA
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): Owner (if known):	TS	Tree/Group No: Species: Ash Location: Grange Fairm Access Pood

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

5) Good 3)Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Unlikely to be suitable	score & Notes Ivy cores. L'united dead word. Historic shading.
	is intended to apply to severe irrem	nediable defects only

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects on

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+	Hīghly suitable	Score & Notes
4 40-100	Very suitable	
2) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

Highly suitable

Barely suitable

Probably unsuitable

alless

Suitable

Suitable

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public

③ Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only

2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

(4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

Score & Notes Felling orgoing on site.

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPC

ſ	Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
	19	TPO

Page 23

Score & Note		44 L	
Boundary	group	Ulung	Janua

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/10/17	Surveyor: Tr	FOXALL/L. K	Hiltbird
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): Owner (if known):	τζ, τ7, τ8	Tree/Group No: Location: Grounge	Species: Beech Fourn Access Pood

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

5) Good (3) Fair	Highly suitable Suitable	Score & Notes
1) Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Unsuitable	

Score & Notes Ivy dad

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
4) 40-100	Very suitable	
2) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	
	191 X C	

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public

Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only

2)Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5)	Principal	components	of arb	oricultural	features,	or veteran	trees
----	-----------	------------	--------	-------------	-----------	------------	-------

(4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

(5)	Immedia	te	threat	to	tree	
\sim	F	1.1	.1			

3) Foreseeable threat to tree

2) Perceived threat to tree

1) Precautionary only

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
9	TPO

Felling ougoing on site

Page 24

Score & Notes

Suitable	
Suitable	
Barely suitable	
Probably unsuitable	

Highly suitable

Score & Notes	
Boundary group	along
four aless vood	

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/10/17	Surveyor:	J. FOXALL / L. KAPADIA
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): Owner (if known):	79	Tree/Group No: Species: Yeu Location: Grange Farm Access Pood

Score & Notes

Score & Notes

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

- Highly suitable 5) Good
- (3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

(5) 100+	Hīghly suītable	
4) 40-100	Very suitable	
2) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public

3 Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or	r veteran	trees
--	-----------	-------

Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

(5) Immediate threat to tree	
3) Foreseeable threat to tree	Score & Notes
2) Perceived threat to tree	Felling organis on site
1) Precautionary only	

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPC

Add Scores for Total: **Decision:** TPO 20

Page 25

Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitable

Historic poor quality pruning

Score & N	19 B		
Bounda	my group	linning	
farin	awas.		

Date: 23/10/17	Surveyor:	J. FOXALL / L.	ICAPADIA	l	
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicable): Owner (īf known):	TIO	Tree/Group No: Location: by:000	Species: Fourm	Ash Acuses	Food

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

5) Good ⁽³⁾ Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes	Ing	clod
* Relates to existing context and	l is intended to apply to severe irrer	nediable defects only		

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
40-100	Very suitable	
2) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	
	1.1	

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

Highly suitable

Barely suitable

RUGES

Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes

Boundary group tung

vood

Suitable

Suitable

Score & Notes

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees

4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public

(3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only

2)Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5)	Principal	components	of	arboricultural	features,	or	veteran	trees

(4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

 (5) Immediate threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 	Score & Notes Felling orgoing on site.
---	---

Page 26

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPC

Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
19	TPO